PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 31. May 61.	2. LOCATION Wichita Falls, Texas		D Was Balloon D Probably Balloon D Possibly Balloon
S. PHOTOS O Yes O No	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Of Ground-Visual Of Air-Visual 6. SOURCE Military	G Ground-Radar G Air-Intercept Radar	U Was Aircraft D Probably Aircraft D Was Astronomical D Probably Astronomical D Possibly Astronomical D Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	a. NUMBER OF OBJECTS	9. COURSE	Other Bono I Insufficient Date for Evaluation Unknown
io. Brief SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Round like objt with no tail or a 10 min fm overhead to 10° a heading of 030°. Looked like	bove horizon on	confirmed with	tellite observation. Not Space Track, however, al Echo I.

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

MARKET TO A STATE OF THE STATE	SSIFIED FICATION		
(Leave blank)		REPORT NO.	UNTRY OF ACTIVITY PEPONTING
1 REPORT	ON REPO	FORMAT	AIR INTELLIGENCE IN
	DATE OF INFORMATIO		UNTRY OR AREA REPORT CONCERNS
	31 May 61		USA
SRI STATUS (If applicable)	1 June 61	e Training	Department of Intelligence Sheppard Air Force Base, 1
OF PERCHA	DATE OF REPORT	IGAGO	EPARING INDIVIDUAL
SRI NO.	1 June 61		Lt Richard A. Flagg, USAF
ERI NO.	EVALUATION		NE OR DESCRIPTION OF SOURCE
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON (Date)			SSgt Richard W. Nieber
	cablej	ta, etc., as appl	ERENCES (BASE Subject, previous reports
aubjects)	arate subjects)		USET (Descriptive title, Use Individua)
		TS (UFO)	UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT
narrative report, Segin nerrative text on AF Form 112a as, including number of copies)	ers of parrative re-	the satient fact. Form 112: List in	MMARY (Give summary which highlights loss report can be fully stated on AF F
ion of a UFO that was sighted at about over Wichita Falls, Texas and Sheppard the UFO is a SSGT in the USAF and is investigation is being reported on ecause this organization does not have	ted the UFO	on that sighterecaster.	Air Force Base. The personan experienced weather for
PAUL A. STEARS LtCol, USAF Director, Dept Intel Tog			
	ng	paper clippi	1 One newsp
M/oz and copies w/o inclusures, if applicable)	Dupt Mior and copie	nd file. Indicate	#IDUTION BY ORIGINATOR (Except USAF and
		793 and 794. Its	that This document contains information nouse Laws. Title 18. U.S.C., Section 1 monthorized person is problemed by Inc

	SUPPLEMENT TO AF FORM 112					
ORIGINATING AGENCY	REPORT NO	PAGE	2	OF	3	PAGES

- 1. Description of object:
 - a. Shape "Round, looked very much like a star"
 - b. Size "The head of a pin at arms length would have covered it"
 - c. Color "Just blue-white, it was not blinking lights"
 - d. Number One
 - e. Tail or Exhaust "No tail or contrails"
 - f. Sound "None at all"
 - g. Other unusual details "I have seen the echo satellite, and this looked a lot like it. this object was at least at 50,000 feet"
- 2. Description of course of object:
 - a. What first called the attention of the observer "I just looked up and it was there. As a weather forecaster, I am interested in watching the sky. This time when I looked up it was there."
 - b. Angle or azimuth when first seen "About 90 degrees, almost overhead"
 - c. Angle or azimuth upon disappearance "About 30 degrees"
 - d. Flight "It first was coming down from the North and later curved slightly to the Southeast"
 - e. How did it disappear "It just went out of my range of vision"
 - f. How long was object visible "I would say about 10 minutes"
- 3. Manner of observation "I was standing on the ground and saw it with the naked eye"
- A. Time and date of sighting:
 - a. 010300 Z June 1961
 - b. Night
- 5. Location of observer about 1 mile west of Sheppard Air Force Base.
- 6. Identifying information on observer
 - a. Name Richard W. Nieber
 - b. Grade SSgt, USAF
 - c. Organization Detachment 5, 8th Weather Group
 - d. Duty Weather forecaster
 - e. Estimate of reliability Because of his duty and experience as a weather forecaster, I would rate this source rather high in regard to statements he makes concerning objects in the sky.
- 7. Weather and winds:
 - a. Observers account "The winds were out of the west and there were high cirrus clouds at about 30,000 to 40,000 feet, but not thick enough to obscure vision. The moon was just on the horizon in the east."
 - b. Weather and winds from U.S. Weather Bureau:

Winds		Direction	Knots		
30,000	feet	260	25		
50,000	feet	290	40		

- c. Visibility 15 plus
- d. Cloud cover high cirrus
- e. There were no thunderstorms in the area
- There was a meteor sighted over Tyler, Texas, about 258 miles from here (see inclosed newspaper clipping from the Wichita Falls newspaper, dated 1 June 1961).
- 9. A check with the control tower and flight dispatcher at Sheppard AFB

WARNING: This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, U.S. C., Sections 793 and 794, Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unsutherized person is prohibited by law. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part, by other than United States Air Force Agencies, except by permission of the Director of Intelligence, USAF

CLASSIFICATION

	SUPPLEMENT TO AF FORM 112					
ORIGINATING AGENCY	REPORT NO.	PAGE	3	OF	3	PAGES

disclosed that there were no aircraft in the area that could have been mistaken for the object, which was reported to be at least 50,000 feet.

10. Comments of preparing officer:

The source of this report is an experienced weather forecaster who is familiar with objects that should be in the sky at any particular time. He stated that the object was not a star, because it was moving too fast. When asked if it could have been a falling star, he stated that he doubted it very much because the trajectory was different and it did not lose any of its intensity. An F9F aircraft flew over this base at 1954 (central time) on its way to Chase Field in Beaville, Texas, but this should have been well out of the area by the time the source made his sighting. Other than the above mentioned aircraft, there is no record of any aircraft in this area that could fly at, or around 50,000 feet. It is possible that the sighting was the meteor mentioned in the inclosed clipping, except that the object sighted was moving too slow and did not have a tail. The most logical answer as to what the source saw, is a satellite, probably "echo." However, this agency does not have the means to check and find out if a satellite went over this area at the time the source made the sighting.

WARNING: This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Explanage Laws, Title 18, U.S. C., Sections 793 and 794. Its transmission of the reveletion of its confents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part, by other than United States Air Force Adennies, except by permission of the Director of Intelligence, USAF